RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

FORT McCLELLAN, ALABAMA

* * * * * * * *

Taken before SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, a Court
Reporter and Commissioner for Alabama at Large, at
Building 141-A, Basement Conference Room, Fort
McClellan, Alabama, on the 13th day of January, 1997,
commencing at approximately 6:30 p.m.

SAMANTHA	Ε.	NOBLE	NOBLE	&	ASSOCIATES	
						2

REPORTER'S INDEX

CAPTION SHEET	•	•	٠	٠	•	1
REPORTER'S INDEX						2
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD					3-	130
CERTIFICATE					131	-132

1	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Let's go.
2	Let's come to order. We don't have a quorum, but I
3	don't think that ought to affect the presentation. I
4	don't guess we can transact any business after the
5	presentation, if we don't have a quorum, but we'll
6	wait until then to see. I am here. Mr. Levy?
7	MR. RON LEVY: Here.
8	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Mr. Anderson?
9	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Here.
10	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Mr. Brown?
11	Mr. Conroy?
12	MR. PETE CONROY: Here.
13	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Dr. Cox?
14	DR. BARRY COX: Here.
15	MR. CHARLES TURNER:
16	Mr. Cunningham? Mr. Elser? Ms. Harrington?
17	MS. MARY HARRINGTON: Here.
18	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Mr. Hood?
19	MR. RON HOOD: Here.
20	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Mayor
21	Kimbrough?
22	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Here.
23	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Ms.

Τ	Longstreth? Mr. Miller called me and said he won't be
2	here. Mr. Moore?
3	MR. MICHAEL MOORE: Here.
4	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Mr. Parks?
5	MR. JIMMY PARKS: Here.
6	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Mr. Thomassy?
7	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Here.
8	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Mr. Reedy?
9	MR. BART REEDY: Here.
10	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Mr. Johnson?
11	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: Here.
12	MR. CHARLES TURNER: I'm going to
13	have to leave early tonight. I'm currently
14	baby-sitting. And so Fern and Ron will conduct any
15	business that we're able to conduct in a little while.
16	Bart Reedy has brought Ms.
17	Whitfield from the EPA office and would like to
18	introduce her to us.
19	MR. BART REEDY: This is Tiki
20	Whitfield. She's with EPA in Atlanta, community
21	relations expert, to give y'all any, you know, input
22	she can on, you know, one of the issues you were
23	talking about and trying to, you know, replace some of

1	the RAB members.
2	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Right.
3	MR. BART REEDY: And we had talked
4	about that last time, I think.
5	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Yes, sir.
6	It's good to have you, Ms. Whitfield. We'll look
7	forward to hearing from you.
8	Ron, do you want to introduce our
9	guest speakers?
10	MR. RON LEVY: We have two folks
11	here from the Corps, Tom Murrel.
12	MR. TOM MURRELL: Tom.
13	MR. RON LEVY: Tom is going to give
14	us a briefing on the archive search report that was
15	completed in November. Archive search report looked
16	at Fort McClellan from an unexploded ordnance
17	standpoint, historically, you know, what was used out
18	here, potentially, where it might be. He's going to
19	talk some details. He's going to go through the
20	history of Fort McClellan from that standpoint and
21	give you a feel for why some of the things you see
22	back here on the wall on the EBS are the way they are,
23	in terms of the designation of the land. And then

1	we've got Carol Youkey. Carol, where are you?
2	MS. CAROL YOUKEY: I'm right here.
3	MR. RON LEVY: Carol is from
4	Huntsville. She will be involved in the next stage of
5	the UXO work, which is an engineering evaluation cost
6	analysis. And she'll follow on and talk a little bit
7	about what that entails and what involves the next
8	just essentially the next step.
9	With that, I'll let Tom go ahead
10	and start.
11	MR. TOM MURRELL: As Ron said, I'm
12	with the Corps of Engineers. I'm out of the St. Louis
13	office. One of our specialties in St. Louis is doing
14	archive search reports, to look for ordnance or
15	chemical weapons on military sites. And within that,
16	we've done about four hundred and fifty old military
17	sites, which are now out in public hands, and about
18	twenty or thirty BRAC active Army type installations.
19	The archive search report process
20	is a process where you go look at court documents, you
21	look at aerial photography that's been done, as I say,
22	over say the last forty years, any maps you can pull
23	together, interviews you can conduct, any place that's

1	a source of information. And it's all what I call
2	snapshots in time.
3	You get a range map in 1946 and
4	then the next one you find is '54. During that eight
5	year time period, the range may have come up, been
6	used for three years and gone back down and been
7	abandoned. We may not pick those up. You just get
8	snapshots through time and you try to interlace what's
9	known from the base history with what you're finding
10	out from the maps and from the interviews from all
11	sources. We try to compile it into a comprehensive
12	source.
13	If I use a term now tonight that
14	you aren't familiar with, say mortar or cannon, raise
15	your hand and I'll try to explain what that piece of
16	ordnance is. Ron?
17	MR. RON LEVY: Mention the reason
18	why we're just the reason why you see just main
19	post in front of you is because of the BRAC
20	requirement for transferring that land into the
21	public's hands. His focus is strictly going to be the
22	main post. That's all I want to say.
23	MR. TOM MURRELL: Pelham Range was

1	purchased around 1940, '41. And that was not the
2	focus of the report. We've got most of the old maps
3	for Pelham, and we can go in and do a report on that,
4	should Ron require that. Got the capability.
5	The other on the color coding, if
6	you can see it from where you're sitting, the purple
7	or red colors indicate explosive ordnance was used.
8	These are things that will blow up.
9	The green areas, when you see them
10	are small arms, like rifles and pistols and that type
11	of stuff, machine guns, stuff that in use they'll kil
12	you, but laying on the ground, it's not going to hurt
13	you.
14	And there is a couple of areas of
15	yellow, which are training areas. These are bivouac
16	sites, places where they may have used trip flares or
17	some type of a surface use type thing, no artillery
18	firing type occurrence, but you still have to go out
19	and look for things that may have been left from
20	training. And there is a couple of bivouac sites out
21	on post.
22	To start off, with the initial

history goes clear back to 1899 with the area. The

1	place called Camp Shipp, which is very little known
2	about Camp Shipp. It's not at Fort McClellan. It
3	sits over here near Blue Mountain. It's a tent camp
4	used in 1899 to reinforce the Spanish American War in
5	Cuba. Since no Armistice was formally signed, they
6	said, you've got rail head going from here to Mobile,
7	it's a good place for a tent encampment. Camp Shipp
8	actually stays around until 1922 as a tent camp.
9	But during 1899, the 4th Alabama
10	Regiment fires field artillery into the mountain
11	range, where we're not sure of. But that's the first
12	tidbit we find of Fort McClellan's possible use.
13	The next use comes up in 1912, with
14	field artillery. Local Congressmen witness field
15	artillery being fired in Tennessee, and says, we've
16	got similar mountains in Northern Alabama, we can
17	bring national guard training here.
18	So, in 1912, twenty thousand
19	national guard people show up in the valley and camp
20	out for their annual training. That's followed in
21	1912, 1913, '14, and '15, four years of field
22	artillery use.
23	At the end of 1915, in December, by

1	presidential order, they declared these three parcels
2	as military reservation use only. It precludes that
3	land from going into private concerns like
4	homesteading or anything else. It's basically an
5	after-the-fact danger zone or an impact zone. They
6	finally say, we've got a problem out there. So,
7	that's the first recognition of any impact areas being
8	confirmed out there, it's 1915.
9	1916, '17, they convince the
10	government, at the time, go make it a major field
11	artillery center. At that time, it was persons by the
12	name of Major Summerall. Anybody familiar with
13	Summerall Gate? Major Summerall is with the War
14	College. He observes the field artillery and says,
15	this is a great training place. So, he comes down,
16	observes it.
17	And 1917, early, they start buying
18	the land through the chamber of commerce, not directly
19	with the individual owners. Chamber of commerce is
20	the transfer agent. And they transfer the land to the
21	federal government, much in a package or mobile parcel
22	listings through the chamber of commerce.
23	Early 1917, April, war is declared.

1	DoD goes in, decides that field artillery is nice, but
2	we need a national guard divisional post. Hence, the
3	first troops that show up are actually the 29th
4	Infantry Division out of New York, called the Blue and
5	Gray Unit. And they all come down from Maryland or
6	excuse me it's Virginia and Maryland, 29th National
7	Guard.
8	The camp starts to take form.
9	Switch over to the next map. There is a blue outline
10	going around through here, basically, the northeast
11	portion of the camp. That's the original World War I
12	cantonment area. It's a national guard training camp.
13	It consists of company units with a mess hall on one
14	end, big long line of tents down to the latrines.
15	Permanent buildings, none, just temporary wood frame
16	buildings. And most of the troops are living in
17	tents. The idea was: Get them use to living in
18	tents, because they're going to live in them in
19	France.
20	Field artillery training for the
21	29th, they have seventy-five millimeter cannons, one
22	five five millimeter cannons, if they have them. If
23	they don't, then they use three inch cannons, six inch

1	cannons,	which	are	almost	obsolete	at	the	time,	but
2	they're a	availak	ole.						

The 29th Division is here for about
a year. They move out in 1918. They are followed by
a brigade size field artillery training center. And
they train approximately five different brigades of
field artillery here.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Some of the inventories show, as far as seventy-five millimeter guns on post, maybe forty or fifty field pieces on post, just for training. And one of the comments of that brigade firing center, Colonel Hammond writes in one of his letters that they can fire up to two brigades at once. Which is a lot of field pieces firing off at once. He says he can fire more if they don't use the parade ground, so that they can fire over the parade ground. Which there is a little yellow square right down here that shows where we think one of the parade grounds was. So, it would prove they're shooting north to south, if they're going over that area. He says, the cantonment area, the firing lines are close to the barracks. It enhances training. You don't have to march off or draw the field pieces over the mountain

Τ	to start the training. Basically, a static set-up.
2	The other place is firing here or
3	out into the crescent shape here. Don't think they
4	came too far this way, because of the city limits.
5	Come down around this edge of the cantonment area,
6	you've got some small hills here, which would block
7	your line of sight. And at that time, field artillery
8	is different from today. It's pretty much a line of
9	sight type weapon. You know, you're shooting at what
10	you can see. You're not shooting over hills and
11	relying on somebody else to tell you where you're
12	hitting and not hitting. A lot of field artillery
13	use.
14	And that goes until the Armistice
15	in 1918. November, they're still training field
16	artillery units here. Now, the ranges that are built
17	during that time frame, up on the north by Reily, you
18	have a three hundred yard rifle range, also a six
19	hundred yard, and a thousand yard. These are these
20	green areas going off.
21	Farther down, they build a machine
22	gun range. Usually about twenty-five meters. So, the
23	range doesn't go that way, it goes this way. It's a

1

whole lot of machine guns in a row, firing about fifty

2	to seventy-five feet away.
3	The yellow area, up on Reilly,
4	where Trench Hill is, which was covered with trenches.
5	The Trench Hill name came after they used it for
6	training. And that's more to simulate World War I
7	conditions.
8	There is another trench up to the
9	east that shows up on one of the engineer maps. Don't
10	know what it was used for. So, that's a yellow area.
11	We found it, we don't know exactly what it's used for.
12	Could have been just a training trench.
13	The other areas that come into
14	training, down by the museum, this green area is also
15	a machine gun camp, strictly, for troops training to
16	be machine gun troops.
17	And then to the north, right as you
18	come in Summerall Gate Road is what was called a
19	remount station. Basically, an oversized horse
20	stable, with probably like a thousand horses. And
21	then you've got more stables for the artillery.
22	Artillery, at that time, is towed by horses, not by
23	motor vehicle.

1	So, at the end of World War I,
2	you've got small arms training up here, you've got the
3	whole back basin really as your field artillery area
4	and you've got a machine gun camp here and you've got
5	temporary buildings going through the main post area.
6	Any questions? Have I lost
7	anybody, yet? That's pretty much it for the end of
8	World War I.
9	After the war, 1919, it's a
10	demobilization center. Troops coming back. They're
11	here. They're out-processed and then they go home.
12	That's about it for 1919.
13	1920, ROTC comes in and uses the
14	post. The post is not deactivated, but there is no
15	permanent party really stationed here, as in troops,
16	no chem school, no artillery battalions or anything
17	like that. It's a place to go to train. So, in 1920
18	you get ROTC training.
19	1922 comes in with a unit called
20	the military civilian training corps. Comes in for
21	thirty day summer camps. And it's basically the
22	predecessor to the reserves as we know it now. It's
23	basic training for reserves. It's a thirty day camp.

1	And they use the south part of the post.
2	The northern part of the old
3	divisional post goes into ruins, but the south part
4	stays. They come in. They also build a new rifle
5	range. They abandon the ones out here and they build
6	what's now known as range twenty-five. And later it
7	will be called Bandholtz Range. They build that and
8	they put in another machine gun range down here. And
9	all their training is real clustered, close together.
10	There is a pistol range down here
11	and there is another pistol range they put in at the
12	base of reservoir hill, where it shoots right into the
13	side. Most of the pictures and they're in the
14	report show real basic training done. Meaning, for
15	forty-fives, there is not a back-stop, it's just
16	targets put out against trees, no improvements, very
17	low work effort trying to develop any ranges. And
18	when they're firing, they're firing thirty-seven
19	millimeter guns, they're behind bushes and mortars.

Everybody knows what a mortar is.

Mortars are just behind trees. There's no like big

fins and safety markers or anything like that. It's

just out there. But they concentrate down here.

1	That's up through about 1927.
2	1929, Fort McClellan is declared a permanent post.
3	This time it's General Summerall, gets it declared a
4	major post. Permanent buildings. And you see the
5	buildings we're in now standing in, being built. And
6	it becomes basically a regimental post with two units.
7	69th Coast Artillery Air Defense
8	Unit and the 4th Tank Company. For ranges during that
9	time, you see up in the north and east of Reilly, this
10	pink area. One of the maps shows tank range. Don't
11	know what type of tank range that really is. Is it a
12	driving range? Is it a place to practice tactics or
13	is it a gunnery range? It's not called out on the
14	map. It's just designated.
15	Those two units stay until about
16	1935. 1935, 22nd Infantry Regiment comes in,
17	displaces the two former units. They're here until
18	1940.
19	1939, 5th Division comes in for
20	winter training. And all organic weapons are fired.
21	So, again, we're back into the mortars, the artillery
22	pieces, rifles, sub-machine guns, everything that
2.3	division has was fired.

1	1940, 5th Division, 22nd Infantry
2	Regiment, both get moved in Fort Benning. They're
3	displaced by the 29th National Guard Division out of
4	New York. They come down another national guard
5	division take over the old cantonment area and
6	they're being federalized for World War II.
7	And right as you go into World War
8	II, approximately, twenty days after, they're moved to
9	the west coast. But during that time frame, they're
10	doing their field artillery training, also. And we
11	think they add to their inventory, not seventy-five
12	millimeters, but 105 millimeter cannons, because when
13	they show up on the west coast, they have 105s, and
14	they were fielded out to the divisions during 1940,
15	'41.
16	So, for the Inter-War Period, these
17	ranges develop. Another set of ranges develop down in
18	the south. It's called Combat Area Number One. I
19	think they had a thirty-seven millimeter anti-tank
20	range. It's in the photographs of the 29th Division
21	being trained or of the 27th Division, rather.
22	Over here, another combat area
23	shows up, more than one map. Just a general area

1	outlined. Don't know what's going on in it, but it
2	shows up on like two or three different maps. And
3	these are like U. S. G. S. Maps.
4	Questions going into the World War
5	II time frame? Two divisions here during the
6	Inter-War. You've also had at least one Alabama
7	division for annual training during that same time
8	frame. So, again, a lots of training. Very little
9	permanent party, though.
10	World War II, things add up more.
11	Beginning, World War II, the first training is branch
12	and material replacement training. These are guys
13	going through basic training. And then they're sent
14	off they're here for eight weeks, they're sent off
15	to some other unit for more training or on-the-job
16	training. It doesn't matter where they're going to.
17	And that lasts all through '42.
18	'43, it becomes infantry replacement training center.
19	Units are all formed up. We need replacements. The
20	course goes to seventeen weeks and includes mortar
21	training, hand grenades, rifle grenades, all the
22	infantry weapons common to the time. Also, what's
23	called 2.36 inch rockets, better known as a bazooka.

Τ	Does everybody know what a bazooka
2	is? It's kind of a tube and you launch and you can
3	shoot the banzer (phonetic) with it. Those were used
4	on post.
5	The training areas continued to
6	develop, but the infantry replacement goes on through
7	to the end of the war. And right at the end of the
8	war, you get another division in here. I believe it's
9	95th Division starts to form up. But its field
10	artillery is up at Adderberry (phonetic). Or if it is
11	on post, it's out at Pelham Range by then. All the
12	field artillery shifts with the purchase of Pelham
13	Range.
14	But during World War II, you see
15	more ranges. This range was abandoned up here, this
16	rifle range. It's reopened.
17	Up to the north, they put in a new
18	machine gun range up north. They put in two other
19	transition rifle ranges up north.
20	Coming down, there is a shape here,
21	kind of an odd ball shape. The right side of that,
22	which looks like a W on its side, is a track for a
23	thirty-seven millimeter anti-tank gun, so they can

1	shoot at a moving target. The other thirty-seven
2	millimeter is down here.
3	This combat area number two gets
4	broken up into two rifle grenade courts, and the
5	rocket range, bazooka range, basically, and a machine
6	gun range. And then there is one other range that
7	shows up on the north and that's another grenade
8	court.
9	And we walked this area when I was
10	down here for a site visit and there is rockets laying
11	down there and you can't tell if it's a dud, if it's
12	been blown, or if it's active. Same way with the
13	rifle grenades. There is old tank turrets and stuff
14	in the woods.
15	Also, down here to the right
16	outside the arch of the corporate line for the city,
17	this is a sixty millimeter mortar range. And that was
18	used. We confirmed that during the site visit. And
19	part of that was cleaned up when they built the
20	museum. Where is Gary Harvey? Early, what, late '70s
21	is when they cleaned up down there?
22	MR. GARY HARVEY: I think so.
23	MR. TOM MURRELL: Yes, there was a

1	partial clean-up down there for the museum. And that
2	report is in the back of the ASR.
3	Some more training. Real heavy
4	stuff ships out to Pelham Range, but you still have
5	mortar training, you still have machine gun training,
6	you still have hand grenades, rifle grenades, basic
7	infantry weapons going on.
8	Questions for World War II? Go
9	ahead.
10	MR. BART REEDY: On this plate
11	here, is this the first plate that shows the corridor
12	going to work?
13	MR. TOM MURRELL: Right. That
14	comes in with World War II as the corridor, going
15	over.
16	MR. BART REEDY: Were you able to
17	see were you able to gather any information on what
18	the corridor was used for, you know, to track
19	MR. TOM MURRELL: Just to get into
20	the documents say to get into Talladega National
21	Forest for field marches. And there is a possibility
22	that other things took place over in Talladega, but
23	it's not in any of the documents we found. And it's

1	based upon the corps supervisor's authority with the
2	local Army commander. So, it stays within corps
3	service to the Army and we don't have any idea what
4	the arrangements were, at the time. It's basically a
5	corridor to allow the passage that comes in.
6	We don't pick up ranges out there
7	until Vietnam Era. But again, you still have
8	explosive ordnance being used on post during the war.
9	It's the artillery training that shifts out to Pelham
10	Range, or unit training.
11	Fort McClellan is basically an
12	infantry replacement post, as is a whole bunch of
13	other posts in The United States. And that
14	corresponds with a lot of the other history, that once
15	they got the divisions up to full strength, everything
16	else shifted to replacement training.
17	And up in the north, you also see
18	start to see some designated tank ranges, actual
19	tank ranges. Don't know what type of if it's the
20	main gun or the machine gun in the hull or the machine
21	gun on top. It's not really called out. It's for
22	some type of tank training. But they do have an arch
23	or an arch like a safety fin or surface danger zone.

1	Right after the end of the war, the
2	infantry training goes back to recruit training,
3	training. The recruit training goes back to eight
4	weeks. And it's basically training soldiers for
5	occupation, either Germany or Japan. That was their
6	intent. That stays until '47, in May, and it shifts
7	to Fort Jackson.
8	And in June, the order is given to
9	put the post in caretaker status. I think most people
10	are aware of that. And that's in June of '47.
11	Range-wise, we don't think a lot of
12	ranges were built between '47 and '50. There is no
13	money to do it. You've got existing ranges to use.
14	There's no need to go develop new ranges. The weapon
15	systems don't change that much between what we end up
16	in '46 with '50. The rocket launchers go up a size,
17	but that's about it. Not a lot of technology, as far
18	as infantry and weapons in that time period to cause a
19	new range to have to be built.
20	1950, they start the reactivation
21	of the post. They send 44th Engineer Battalion from
22	Fort Bragg to start working on buildings. That
23	continues on until the war breaks out in Korea. And

1	then 44th leaves for Korea.
2	By '52, you've got the chemical
3	Corps back in, now. Chemical Corps is stationed for
4	replacement training. There are schools here in '52.
5	MR. BART REEDY: You said the
6	chemical Corps came back.
7	MR. TOM MURRELL: Well, not came
8	back. Was initially stationary.
9	MR. BART REEDY: Thank you.
10	MR. TOM MURRELL: They had been up
11	by Gadsden during World War II. And I'm not sure
12	where it was before '52.
13	MR. RON LEVY: Gadsden, Camp
14	Sibert.
15	MR. TOM MURRELL: Camp Sibert
16	during the war. '52, they came here basically for the
17	first time.
18	And in '52, you see construction
19	for the WAC school. By '54, the WAC school finally
20	gets off the ground. And you've got the two schools
21	operating here, clear through until '66.
22	'66, they begin infantry training
23	for Vietnam. That keeps going until the end of
	Total trade free getting affect one office of

1	Vietnam. And in '/3, it gets abandoned, the chemical
2	corps, the schools, everything, they start shutting
3	down the chemical corps. By '78, the WAC school is
4	also shut down.
5	Your local Congressman goes back
6	and says, what's going on. So, in '79, they get the
7	new chemical corps back again, and they also get the
8	MP school from Fort Gordon in '79.
9	MR. RON LEVY: '75.
10	MR. TOM MURRELL: '75?
11	MR. RON LEVY: They have been here
12	for awhile.
13	MR. TOM MURRELL: I had '79 on my
14	notes. But that's the replacement lose one, you
15	gain another one. The ranges shift a little bit
16	during that time frame, up through the end of '76.
17	The sixty millimeter mortar range down here is still
18	in operation. You get a tank gunnery range. Tables
19	one, two, and three down just north of there. That's
20	what this big fan is down here. That shows up on one
21	of the maps.
22	The rocket training that was down
23	off Iron Mountain

1	MR. MARK ANDERSON: The
2	MR. TOM MURRELL: Yes, sir?
3	MR. MARK ANDERSON: The fan that's
4	outside the installation boundary, a safety fan, do we
5	have any evidence that there's lead or anything else
6	like that out there?
7	MR. TOM MURRELL: I'll hit that
8	when I get up to that corner.
9	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Okay.
10	MR. TOM MURRELL: That shows up on
11	a '54 map. We're going to work that way.
12	The rocket range that was up off
13	Iron Mountain Road, shifts back over to what's now
14	known as range sixteen area. And you also get rifle
15	grenade use in range sixteen area.
16	Range eighteen, still in use.
17	Range twenty-five, still in use. Range twenty-three
18	is in use. But farther down, you get a combat village
19	where Yahoo Lake now sits. You get a machine gun
20	range up on the 24-A area. Also, a demolition pit up
21	there.
22	The rifle range here stays in
23	business. Another sixty millimeter mortar range is

1	out here off Bains Gap Road. Not sure if that was
2	actually used. But the aerial photography shows that
3	there is something going on where the firing line
4	would have been. But we can't see any cratering
5	evidence or anything. And we tried to drive some of
6	the area, and we couldn't find traces, but still could
7	be out there.
8	Up to the north, big safety fin.
9	Eighty-one millimeter mortar fin. The map that came
10	off of was a post map. It stopped right here. So, my
11	mapping guy has extended the safety fin out. As far
12	as finding stuff on the site visit, there is a road
13	that comes down this way. We were over in here and
14	found an HE high explosive round blown up about twenty
15	feet off the side of the road. So, that's the left
16	side of the safety fin. Whether or not it fired all
17	the way down, that's further investigation. But it
18	was used for that.
19	MR. MARK ANDERSON: It looks
20	that looks awful short for the maximum range of an
21	eighty-one millimeter mortar.
22	MR. TOM MURRELL: It's about three
23	kilometers. It's nine thousand yards or three

1	thousand yards out. May have. Depends on what
2	charges you're using. But we do know HE was used on
3	it. More than just a practice range.
4	But that shows up during the
5	Vietnam Era maps. Also, what shows up is what amounts
6	to like a fire base up here for a training area. Four
7	other ranges show up in the corridor. There's a lot
8	of surface debris out there from practice type rounds,
9	lots of like smoke grenade type stuff, you know,
10	simulate training. It's all up. And these are still
11	the towers are still there. The range markers are
12	still there. And it looks basically like they walked
13	away from it.
14	And then there is two areas farther
15	out, way out in the corridor that show up as training
16	areas, also. One is called Morgan Mountain Attack
17	Range and another area here was looks like a
18	practice range of some sort, something to simulate
19	training.
20	And the tank ranges up here are
21	still showing up. These are all pretty much rifle
22	ranges up in the north.
23	So, Vietnam, you see an expansion

1	basically starting to go into the corridor. The back
2	side of the mountains are being used.
3	Questions on up through '76?
4	Current day, still showed parts of range thirty-one
5	being used up in the north. That was a Carbine rifle
6	range on thirty-one. New ranges come in here.
7	Twenty-two, twenty-three, twenty-four up in the little
8	nook. Range sixteen is still used. Range eighteen is
9	still used. Smoke generation up here. And you get a
10	designated impact area, which we think is probably
11	larger, now. And the pistol range is down off Iron
12	Mountain Road, for current use. And then there is
13	some bivouac areas being used.
14	Now, all the base maps going up,
15	all showed the safety fins. And it's a danger area
16	for the use of the range. When we go to the
17	cumulative map, I got rid of those, because they got
18	so busy. There is about fifty-seven different ranges
19	up here.
20	This is the same map that's back on
21	the table. And some of you have the colorized version
22	on twenty-two by thirty-four. But if I go north to
23	south, you've got some tank ranges. A lot of small

1	arms ranges up in the north by Reilly Air Field.
2	Possible field artillery impact area up on the
3	hillside, going third down and around. Didn't think
4	it swung towards the city. Four mortar ranges or
5	three mortar ranges, one here, sixty, another sixty,
6	and the eighty-one millimeter mortar range possibly
7	coming through in the same area. You can see the
8	original parcels of land which were held in public
9	domain for military use.
10	Areas down here are range
11	twenty-three, range twenty-five been used basically
12	since World War II or earlier. Range sixteen area,
13	also from basically the period of time frame. The
14	ranges up in the combat area, number two, all
15	abandoned. And same way down here in the sixty
16	millimeter mortar range, all abandoned.
17	Questions in general?
18	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Where is the
19	gate out here going down to the corridor?
20	MR. TOM MURRELL: The roads the
21	gate going through the corridor is right here, right
22	on the south edge of the one parcel. Original roads
23	that were here, prior to the post being here, the road

1	up from Blue Mountain, Iron Mountain Road, Rocky
2	Hollow Road, Bains Gap, and Reilly Air Field Road.
3	You keep going up to Reilly, you can see also see
4	it extending out past the gate. It was there 1900.
5	And that's how far back we've tried to go with the U.
6	S. G.S. Maps is 1900.
7	And Camp Shipp, we only have one
8	map. And it's over in here with one rifle range on
9	it. Camp Shipp kind of leads into the other.
10	MR. RON LEVY: What was your most
11	valuable source of information?
12	MR. TOM MURRELL: No one source.
13	mean, it's the maps, as far as information, a lot
14	of it came out of different draws, out of post
15	engineers. Fortunately, most people don't throw away
16	tracings. National archives provided a lot, too. A
17	lot of the older maps came out of the national
18	archives.
19	And as far as tracking this
20	artillery impact area, World War I, we've got similar
21	maps done by a sister engineer company for Fort Meade
22	and they don't show their impact areas, either. It's
23	not until 1923 that you see an impact area at Fort

1	Meade. So, the same type of scenario. If it's not an
2	improvement, it doesn't get recorded. But we did find
3	1918 maps, more than one.
4	MR. BART REEDY: Two questions.
5	MR. TOM MURRELL: Sure.
6	MR. BART REEDY: When did the WAC
7	training begin?
8	MR. TOM MURRELL: I have it as '54
9	as officially opening, 1954.
10	MR. BART REEDY: Do you have any
11	indication of what was what they actually trained
12	for?
13	MR. TOM MURRELL: No.
14	MR. RON LEVY: Most of it was
15	administrative
16	MR. GARY HARVEY: That's available
17	down in the WAC museum.
18	MR. TOM MURRELL: Now, there is a
19	good history that was done in about '55 or '56 by a
20	Major Mary Lane. And it's about fifty-five pages of
21	history on Fort McClellan.
22	MR. BART REEDY: Where is that at?
23	MR. TOM MURRELL: Abrams Library

1	has got a copy, Calhoun Library has got a copy.
2	MR. BART REEDY: Okay.
3	MR. TOM MURRELL: But at fifty-five
4	pages, I didn't want to include the whole thing in the
5	report.
6	MR. GARY HARVEY: Also, there is a
7	good reservoir down in the WAC museum of all the
8	training that went on here. They didn't train with
9	weapons. They are primarily clerical.
10	MR. TOM MURRELL: But almost every
11	place on post was a good source. Like from the WAC
12	museum, I pulled that they had six inch guns during
13	World War I off of a photograph here. That came from
14	the WAC museum.
15	Chemical museum furnished a couple
16	of stuff. And also hit the chem school, local. The
17	Anniston room, Alabama room, I guess it is, Tom
18	Millins (phonetic) down there was real helpful.
19	There's a whole year book for the
20	27th Division of New York when they were here. Shows
21	all their cannons firing. And we've pretty much
22	confirmed that there are anti-tank weapons were
23	fired down here just off the photographs, matching

1	them what we could see in the surface photographs
2	to the aerials. And then confirmed later that
3	seventy-five millimeters were firing from behind them,
4	and farther down into the southeast.
5	So, lots of different sources of
6	information.
7	MR. BART REEDY: Did you have any
8	indication of any kinds of chemical rounds being
9	fired?
10	MR. TOM MURRELL: Other than white
11	phosphorous, smoke rounds, that's it.
12	MR. BART REEDY: What were the
13	white phosphorous, where were they at?
14	MR. TOM MURRELL: It's just a
15	general round for white phosphorous, most people
16	think of as an artillery round. During World War II,
17	smoke rounds and white phosphorous was considered a
18	chemical round. Smoke generation, all white
19	phosphorous, that's why you have mortar companies and
20	you have chemical mortar companies, because the
21	chemical mortar companies fire the smoke and they fire
22	the white phosphorous.
23	MR. RON LEVY: But there has never

1	been any history of any mustard or
2	MR. TOM MURRELL: No shells, no,
3	other than what came out of the chem school for
4	containers.
5	MR. RON LEVY: And they
6	MR. TOM MURRELL: And they used the
7	shells for containers for storage. And that repeats
8	itself, elsewhere, on other posts, as far as using
9	bombs as containers for mustard.
10	MR. BART REEDY: And the
11	phosphorous rounds, that would have been, just pick a
12	range and maybe
13	MR. TOM MURRELL: Maybe, yes for
14	the field artillery. Now
15	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: That's a
16	standard field artillery round. It gives a faster
17	smoke build-up than the normal smoke build up. To put
18	in a smoke screen fast, you fire the white
19	phosphorous, and then you fire the smoke on top of it
20	And you get a fast build up on a smoke screen. And
21	the standard smoke rounds last longer.
22	MR. MARK ANDERSON: I think they
23	used it out at the demo range, the 24 Alpha. I think

1	we used it out there until they stopped the safety
2	had us stop using it to light to ignite flame field
3	expedient (phonetic), probably mid '70's.
4	MR. TOM MURRELL: It's a real hot
5	round. And when it pops off, those, if you've ever
6	seen it, it will hit and it gives you instant smoke.
7	But about what, thirty seconds later, it's about a
8	hundred feet off the ground, it's so hot. So, the
9	effect is quick, but not standing. Whereas, the smoke
10	rounds take longer to generate. It's a cooler smoke
1.1	
11	and it will stay down on the ground.
12	MR. FERN THOMASSY: There was a lot
13	used out on range thirty-one, too, for demonstrations
14	over the years of all types, rockets to grenades.
15	MR. TOM MURRELL: The other thing
16	that comes into the chem corps inventory is all your
17	flame throwers, either tank mounted or the portable
18	units. And the portable units don't last long. It's
19	really about a thirty second unit, about four, seven
20	second blasts, and that's it. You trash it, and you
21	get another one and you throw it on your back. It's
22	not a real long you know you see it in the
23	movies, you know, it doesn't last all day long. It's

1	good for about four openings and that's about it.
2	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Did you say
3	that there was a tank firing range down there in the
4	southwest
5	MR. TOM MURRELL: There's a tank
6	right here. It just shows up as tank tables one, two,
7	and three. Now, some of the tank tables, now days,
8	tank table one may be a range finder type course or on
9	a tank, you have multiple machine guns. Even back
10	then, you have a hull mounted one, you have one next
11	to the main gun and the turret. So, when they swing
12	the main gun, the machine gun's going with it, or you
13	have one up on top of the turret for air defense.
14	Could have been one of those type ranges or it could
15	have been what's called a range finder thing where
16	they have known marks out there and you calibrate your
17	range finder using those. The interesting thing here
18	is: It's right behind an armory. And there are
19	service roads going between this area and the armories
20	up on up on the
21	MR. BART REEDY: What does that
22	indicate?
23	MR. TOM MURRELL: It may have been

1	connected to them. I don't know what was in those
2	armories during the '50s.
3	MR. FERN THOMASSY: So, this was
4	during the Korean War time?
5	MR. TOM MURRELL: It's Post-Korean
6	War. Even these tank ranges are Post-Korean War.
7	They came off of, I think it's a '56 U.S.G.S. Map.
8	Now, some of these ranges are
9	warped in here. By warping, you've got maps that come
10	from different sources, either post engineers surveyed
11	it or defense mapping surveyed it or U. S. G. S.
12	Surveyed it. And their marks may be off a little bit.
13	The way we did our mapping, St. Louis, is: We lifted
14	them off one map and you kind of do the rubber sheet
15	or the warping and then you put it back down and we
16	used it for our base maps, the standard base training
17	map. So, you have all the grid coordinates and it's
18	all familiar with most people on the post. And we
19	tried to warp it in there as best we can, but they may
20	be off a hundred yards, give or take. And the impact
21	areas may be this line could be over a whole
22	kilometer (inaudible).

MR. MARK ANDERSON: If the area had

either an impact area at or around it, did you just
not list the training area that may have been there at
a later time or because there are some areas, for
example, out in the area around Yahoo and so on that
I mean, for years, we go on up through there and
there is probably nothing more than links from an $M-60$
and then some brass laying loose, but it was, you
know, it was used for patrol training and things like
that.

MR. TOM MURRELL: Yes, that stuff is not documented really in anything. I mean, when we were out on the sixty range and we -- the way we sighted that one was: Went down a site where we said they probably shoot about five hundred yards out, we stopped the truck, walked in about a hundred yards and started seeing tail fins and quietly backed back out of there. But then, two hundred yards up the road were chemical guys walking in the suits. You know, they weren't going up in the woods, but they were walking the trail for their training. So, you know, any area -- same way with bivouac areas. We've got the current ones, but what could have been a bivouac area for two years and abandoned, may not have shown

1	up on the maps.
2	That's where I go back to the
3	snapshots in time. That's when somebody decided a new
4	map was drawn, and we found it, we've got a good
5	snapshot. And some of them continued through. Your
6	range twenty-five has been there since about 1923.
7	MR. MARK ANDERSON: So, the
8	question is: Is I don't want to say complete and
9	accurate but I guess, the things that are on here
10	are the things that we would be concerned about
11	digging up. And if it's a little bit of links from a
12	belt fed machine gun or something like that, then it's
13	kind of a so what, as far as where it is and how
14	dangerous it is today. Is that what I'm
15	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Is it that or
16	is it beyond the scope of what you did? Didn't you
17	only research what had been written down?
18	MR. TOM MURRELL: Yes, anything
19	that's documented. We only had five days for walking
20	sites and we we walked the sixty range here, the
21	hand grenade or the rifle grenade ranges, we walked
22	the bazooka range here, walked these four up here,
23	eighty-one. Once we found one right off the side of

1	the road, we confirmed the use. We looked for the
2	sixty millimeter mortar range here. But at least
3	walking around a few of the areas, never found any
4	evidence of it. But it doesn't mean it wasn't there.
5	We just didn't drive the right spots. But anything we
6	found in documents went on. You confirm it with
7	walking the site.
8	We found up on range I think
9	it's north of range nineteen an old service road
10	that goes around the range, rifle or grenade stems all
11	over the place.
12	MR. MARK ANDERSON: We had
13	something just as a for instance, when we talk
14	about whether it was documented or not we had a
15	training exercise that the reason I asked about
16	where is the gate for Bains Gap, if you come on out
17	the road, you see the mountain. And where the road
18	curves back around there was a training area. There
19	is a chimney there and it was used as repelling
20	training and some assault training several years ago.
21	And, of course, there were booklets done up that
22	explained how to for the cadre to run the exercise

and so on. And, of course, all that stuff never found

1	it into any kind of archives. When they were
2	stopped using the exercise, they just threw it in the
3	trash bin.
4	MR. TOM MURRELL: I mean, that's
5	common. A lot of things the records we go and
6	find, a lot of them are personnel records, a lot of
7	them are what people fifty years ago thought was
8	important. You know, a lot of, you know, dances I
9	mean, you find all kinds of stuff in there. Hospital
10	records are permanent records. But as far as a lot of
11	the ordnance records, they weren't kept. I mean, you
12	know, they're being kept now. And EOD records, as of
13	three years ago, were only kept for two years and
14	destroyed. I mean, the EOD records now are being
15	permanently stored. So, as they get finds and stuff,
16	they keep them forever, now. Maybe not with the unit,
17	but they are being saved for future use.
18	Back in the back?
19	CHIP WALBERG: Are these maps
20	digitized and can they be obtained?
21	MR. TOM MURRELL: Yes, we're going
22	to figure out how to get them down to Ron. They're
23	all off on Agter files. I believe

1	CHIP WALBERG: Raster files.
2	MR. TOM MURRELL: Raster? I'm not
3	a map digitizing guy, so
4	CHIP WALBERG: So, ask Ron?
5	MR. TOM MURRELL: Yes, we can get
6	them. I can let my mapping guy talk to your mapping
7	guy and we can get them transferred. They're all in
8	there.
9	MR. RON LEVY: This is all public
10	information. It's all available. If there is any
11	cost, then we'll try to cover it. But if anybody
12	needs information, particularly like that, and we can
13	provide files, we certainly will.
14	MR. MARK ANDERSON: I need a set of
15	the maps.
16	MR. TOM MURRELL: Of these maps or
17	the references maps?
18	MR. RON LEVY: You might want to
19	just that plate eight gives you the
20	MR. MARK ANDERSON: That's fine.
21	MR. TOM MURRELL: Plate eight
22	MR. MARK ANDERSON: That's fine.
23	MR. TOM MURRELL: summary, this

1	is in the draft mode. We're going to go, per Ron's
2	discussion, when we go to a final, we're going to go
3	around and number every one of these as an ordnance
4	area, so the future use, you can designate to a
5	certain area for conversation. But there is so many
6	different numbers out there on post, between range
7	numbers, training area numbers, post engineer have
8	utilities under certain numbers, and trying to keep
9	the numbers straight on a certain area.
10	MR. RON LEVY: The final version of
11	this will also be placed in the repository at the
12	Anniston Library.
13	MS. LISA KINGSBURY: There is one
14	at the Anniston Library right now. Abrams and Fisher
15	don't have one, yet. We didn't have enough copies.
16	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Is this the final
17	version? I mean, is this the
18	MR. CHARLES TURNER: It's the
19	draft.
20	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Have you
21	completed all of your research and
22	MR. TOM MURRELL: The research is
23	basically done, unless somebody comes forward and

1	says, oh, yes I know of a range, I know of a fire,
2	flame thrower range down in off of
3	MR. RON LEVY: Actually, right
4	behind the MP school.
5	MR. TOM MURRELL: Yes, there is one
6	there I have to put in. It all depends on who comes
7	forward with information. It's probably going to be
8	an ongoing process. But this is for national archives
9	or regional archives.
10	MR. PETE CONROY: Have you taken
11	into account a lot of oral histories and personal
12	accounts?
13	MR. TOM MURRELL: To some degree.
14	The one by Mary Clark that did it for the WAC museum
15	in '55, '56 had a lot of stuff, as far as the
16	narrative, which divisions were here. And then you go
17	from their divisions, you go into a book called Order
18	of Battle, which tracks say, the 27th Division. It
19	will tell you the exact day they left. And you go in.
20	One of the things we had to check,
21	we know on post from (inaudible) they have found 105
22	millimeter rounds, down over in here. 27th Division,
23	when they show up, have seventy-five millimeter and

1	one fifty-five millimeters, no 105s.
2	We went into another book and we
3	find out that 105s being fielded in '40, '41. By the
4	time the war starts, there is like five hundred field
5	pieces out for 105s. Still, nothing.
6	Well, when we trace, there is a
7	reorganization of the division. And when they show up
8	on the west coast to go to Hawaii, they've got 105s in
9	late December. So, we pretty much deduced that since
10	they've been brought on federal duty, they're a
11	divisional unit, they were fielded with the 105s and
12	probably trained here with the 105s.
13	So, it's kind of a collection of
14	I mean, it's you know, we're getting better at it.
15	We've done it for four years, but everything is a new
16	source. Back in the back, Bart?
17	MR. BART REEDY: We the BCP is
18	having a bit of a our charge is to find out
19	everything we can find out about the base. Try to get
20	the what land we can transferred back into the
21	public domain, of course. Did you a couple of
22	questions that we keep asking ourselves are I'd

like to ask you: You know, did you find anything

1	about where one goes to clean one's bazooka? You
2	know, where did they do that? Did you run across
3	those kinds of
4	MR. TOM MURRELL: No, there is
5	nothing on that. I mean, you know, between cleaning
6	with solvents and that stuff I mean, I was
7	stationed here for three years. I should have
8	probably said that earlier. But I know with cleaning
9	solvents, you know, we cleaned in day rooms, we
10	cleaned in the field, we cleaned down at consolidated
11	arm cross, the PX, solvents.
12	MR. RON LEVY: How did they clean
13	if you know, how did they clean the bores on the
14	tubes back then? They generally did it on the spot?
15	MR. TOM MURRELL: We don't really
16	know.
17	MR. GARY HARVEY: This is UXO.
18	MR. RON LEVY: It's another issue
19	that relates to clean up that they use at ranges.
20	MR. BART REEDY: Did you run across
21	any of your old maps or archives, did you run across
22	any landfill, dump kinds of things, prior to World War
23	II?

1	MR. TOM MURRELL: Some incinerator
2	areas back by the ASP, back down in here.
3	MR. BART REEDY: Anything else?
4	MR. TOM MURRELL: I think that's
5	about it for incinerators. There's like six of them
6	in a row back
7	MR. BART REEDY: Right, we know of
8	those.
9	MR. TOM MURRELL: We know back in
10	there. And like the ASP area, that has been there
11	since World War I. It was extended out, more berms
12	put up. In the field artillery in World War I, we're
13	stationed right next to it. Their ammunition training
14	was basically a company that supplied them. And their
15	own horse stables were right there, too. And we think
16	they fired right out the back of their barracks. In
17	the 1923 photos of the military training corps, you
18	see a guy with a mortar and you can see the tent right
19	within about a hundred and fifty yards back. I mean,
20	don't make it hard. We can set it up right here and
21	fire.
22	MR. BART REEDY: Thank you.
23	MR. TOM MURRELL: I mean, just the

1	way business was done then.
2	MR. BART REEDY: Sure.
3	MR. RON LEVY: For those of you
4	that don't know, ASP is ammunition supply point. And
5	that's the area where they stored ammunition in.
6	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: With regards to
7	the ammunition supply point, you said it was how
8	long was it there?
9	MR. TOM MURRELL: The original
10	buildings back there were 1917.
11	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: That was the
12	only supply point for ammunition?
13	MR. TOM MURRELL: That we found.
14	And it's right next to the the divisional field
15	artillery, when 29th Division came in, had two light
16	regiments, and one heavy regiment, and an ammunition
17	what's called an ammunition train. It's a company
18	of ammunition handlers. And they were set up right
19	next to it.
20	And then the field artillery,
21	basically, here, here, right through those four
22	divisional blocks were set up. And then the infantry
23	units were farther down, down through here. So, the

1	magazines, they may not look the same. Some of them
2	were wooden structures. But the ammunition supply
3	point has been there pretty much since 1917.
4	MR. RON LEVY: Those in fact are
5	designated.
6	MR. TOM MURRELL: As far as what
7	happened with the national guard training in 1912
8	through 1915, that was probably carried in by them and
9	taken back out by them.
10	MR. RON LEVY: We need to move on
11	so we don't get too backed up. I want to try to bring
12	Carol on. If you've got any additional questions,
13	when we take a break, you can get with Tom or me and
14	one of the staff folks and
15	MR. PARKS: You've got all these
16	recommendations in this one. Is this yours, too, part
17	of your
18	MR. TOM MURRELL: Right, that's
19	part of the ASR, as far as
20	MR. PARKS: Will they fund you to
21	do everything
22	MR. TOM MURRELL: That's just a
23	recommendation. Funding is the girl that follows me.

1	Carol Youkey will talk about funding.
2	MR. PARKS: Okay.
3	MR. TOM MURRELL: The two documents
4	you have are, one is the history that goes through,
5	basically, chronologically, and then the summary and
6	the recommendations hit each individual area as to
7	so, you can track what went on here. When did it
8	first show up or when did we pick it up, how many maps
9	did it show up on and when does it go back off the
10	maps and what we think probably took place there.
11	MR. CHARLES TURNER: Take a break,
12	now? Let's go ahead and take a break, ten minutes.
13	(WHEREUPON, there was a brief recess.)
14	MR. RON LEVY: As mentioned before,
15	Carol is here from Huntsville. And she's going to
16	talk about the next step in the process, in terms of
17	where we're going. Actually, the archive search
18	report has been completed. We've not been funded to
19	do anything more, at this point. And there is still
20	some discussion, decisions as to what exactly we're
21	going to do. As it relates to what she's going to
22	talk about, she's going to try to explain to you how
23	this process works and what will be the next step in

1 it. Carol.

MS. CAROL YOUKEY: I'm Carol Youkey

3 from the Huntsville Corps of Engineers. We call

4 ourselves the U. S. Army Engineering and Support

5 Center, these days. We're not a district like St.

6 Louis and Mobile. We are a center. And our work does

7 carry us all over, take us all over the world,

8 basically.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We've had an ordnance mission for several years, now. And we are the center of expertise for the Corps of Engineers for all ordnance-related projects. So, you'll see our name and you'll see us, regardless of which district is doing the work. We're, at this point, trying to transition some of the work to the districts. Up until now, it's been mostly Huntsville, with the help of St. Louis and Rock Island, on the ordnance program. But we've, through the experience that we've gained, over the last few years, we are trying to spread the work to the other districts. So, you may see some of that in the future, too. But we will maintain the center of expertise there in Huntsville, as long as our headquarters says we will. And we expect that to

1	last	for	awhile.

2 I'm here to talk about phase two. 3 Tom has told you basically phase one, the archive 4 search report. And I just can't emphasize how 5 important this first phase is. And we were talking at 6 the break what a value you get for the funds, the 7 money that you spent to have this record search done. 8 You've got a wealth of information that you'll use, 9 over and over again, as you go through the ordnance removal process and the ordnance clearance. It will 10 11 be pretty much the basic document that you'll refer to 12 over and over. The second most important document 13 will be -- or collection of documents will be the 14 15 engineering evaluation and cost analysis. So, that 16 follows on. It's based on this: It looks at these 17 parcels that have been identified as containing 18 ordnance and it develops a plan, an analysis, for 19 every parcel, group of parcels, however you want to 20 group them, if you want to do all the parcels at once. 21 But if you had one particular parcel in mind, you want to make it top priority, you can develop what we call 22 the -- shorten it, call the EECA, engineering 23

1	evaluation and cost analysis. And you can start the
2	work for EECA for this area that to transfer, first,
3	as a top priority. And what it is, it's basically
4	what it sounds, it's a study based on field work. The
5	study is done by an engineering firm. And the
6	engineering firm will usually sub-contract to a UXO
7	contractor for the field work. And they'll come in
8	and actually do some sampling in these areas to verify
9	what the record searches seem to think is there.
10	They'll see what the density of the contamination is.
11	They'll develop alternatives and they'll cost out each
12	alternative. And that may range from doing nothing,
13	based on what's found to it. And it may range to
14	doing a complete sub-surface clearance to a depth of
15	four to ten feet. So, there is a broad range of what
16	may be shown as alternatives to actually clear the
17	property so that it can be transferred.
18	It will also tell you how feasible
19	each alternative is. And that comes into play as you
20	see in the very steep areas, in the environmentally
21	sensitive areas. The engineering part side of this
22	report will go into that in detail, as far as how
23	effective is this alternative and really how realistic

1	is it to have it done and what of course, the
2	bottom line is the dollars and what it will cost to
3	have it cleared.
4	So, that's an EECA in a nutshell.
5	It will be a document that also you'll refer to over
6	and over again. You'll study it. You'll look at it.
7	You'll look at the alternatives. And at some point
8	you will select the alternate that you would like to
9	pursue for any particular piece of property. Yes?
10	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Is there a
11	schedule for creating it?
12	MS. CAROL YOUKEY: That's pretty
13	much up to the BRAC office. You have to prioritize,
14	first, the parcels that you want to work on to start
15	the EECA on. And then start as much or as little as
16	you think is the sensible thing to do, at this point.
17	They can take from six months to a year to do. It's
18	
19	MR. PARKS: Who will do the
20	prioritization of it?
21	MR. RON LEVY: The base clean-up
22	team, the BRAC clean-up team would be the one if
23	you moved forward on it, we make decisions on what

1	land we're going to do. And that's done in
2	conjunction with advice from the RAB and the local
3	reuse authority.
4	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Who does this
5	consist of?
6	MR. BART REEDY: Say again.
7	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: The BRAC clean
8	up?
9	MR. RON LEVY: Myself, Chris
10	Johnson, and Bart, that's the BRAC clean-up team.
11	That's the thing we've briefed you on all along. And
12	we have support folks that give us information and
13	provide us with what the projects are
14	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Do you have a
15	schedule (inaudible)?
16	MR. RON LEVY: Well, again, there
17	has been no decision on how and when we're going to do
18	this, yet. A lot of it is pending funding. And
19	really, the BRAC clean-up team has not had a chance to
20	discuss it, at all. We've talked about UXO and UXO
21	issues, but we've not talked about any clean up
22	associated with it. There is a lot of things that
23	will address that. One thing it will probably be

1	addressed in the base clean-up plan, which we've not
2	completed. Started on, but it's not been completed.
3	I guess that would be the major thing that will be
4	used. In terms of identifying what we're going to do,
5	in terms of EECA, we have had some requests for
6	funding. They're in, now, but, there has been no
7	decision made on how and when that funding is going to
8	be spent.
9	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Two questions.
10	The first one, I want to make sure I understand the
11	EECA, itself, and the options that it addresses. Will
12	you address each potential option or will you address
13	only the options, in terms of the cost estimate, only
14	the options that are recommended by BCT?
15	MS. CAROL YOUKEY: Uh
16	MR. MARK ANDERSON: I don't know.
17	Does BCT recommend options?
18	MS. CAROL YOUKEY: No. The
19	engineering firm will recommend or well, they won't
20	recommend so much, but they will present all
21	alternatives and all the data that goes along with it.
22	MR. RON LEVY: We would look at the
23	parcels and the property that we want to focus on, and

1	provide that as a basis for what we're going to go
2	after first. But I don't think they're going to
3	make alternatives to us or make recommendations to us.
4	MR. MARK ANDERSON: And the second
5	question is: What about the spaces in between?
6	MS. CAROL YOUKEY: The non
7	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Yes. We
8	discussed in the previous little session that there
9	were this was what was in the records and in the
10	archives, but it's not necessarily whole and complete.
11	Okay. So, what do we do about those spaces in
12	between? For example, if I happen to know for a fact
13	and I did know for a fact, at one time, that on the
14	road around Twin Mountain, south of those ranges in
15	the lower left hand corner there, there was at least
16	twice when I was out humping through there, you
17	know, where we staked off a piece of unexploded
18	ordnance and the EOD came out and blew it up. So,
19	it's quite likely that there was something that landed
20	outside the fan, could very well be more out there.
21	What are we going to do about those areas?
22	MS. CAROL YOUKEY: Well, ordnance
23	there is no one hundred percent clean up or even

1	identification of contaminated ordnance properties.
2	There never will be. So, you have to go on the best
3	information that you have. Tom said if something else
4	comes up, you know, evidence that he can consider,
5	that would be made part of the ASR. Also, you know,
6	identifications, any incidents, we have to take those
7	into account. We will immediately, once something
8	happens. But we have to have pretty good evidence.
9	Otherwise, the other areas that are not identified, we
10	are basically classifying them as known for their
11	action. We do not see an ordnance threat on anything
12	that's not cross hatched or colored on his maps.
13	MR. RON LEVY: We will, the BCT,
14	look at like not the UXO areas, but those that are
15	non-fused ordnance like the small arms, we'll look at
16	that probably from a different standpoint, maybe from
17	a clean up of metals or whatnot, where we'll evaluate
18	the risk associated with you know, whatever lead is
19	in the soil or other types of
20	MR. MARK ANDERSON: The reason I
21	ask that specifically, Ron, is: It looks like, for
22	the purposes of the eastern bypass, it looks very much
23	like there might be a fairly clear corridor there and

1	we might not have the expense involved in it that we
2	had been tremendously concerned about.
3	MR. RON LEVY: I'm not sure. See,
4	I have not seen the new location to which way the
5	corridor is proposed to come through, so I couldn't
6	comment on that.
7	MR. MARK ANDERSON: I mean, it
8	looks like most of the southern end of the post isn't
9	as bad I was concerned that everything, all the way
10	around to the what is it, what is it called, Peck
11	Hill, that we were looking at a bowl shaped thing, as
12	opposed to something like this. This puts a whole new
13	spin on it, in terms of what information the LRA needs
14	and so on. It may not be as bad as what we thought.
15	MR. RON LEVY: It's certainly
16	something that would provide to the focus they're
17	doing for the environmental documentation for the
18	eastern bypass, for their use.
19	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: So, what we're
20	looking at right now, y'all are going to make a
21	determination, be looking at your plan. We'll have to
22	get funds, basically, to fund the engineers to come in
23	and map out recommendations, give different options,

1	and the cost analysis. And then after that is done,
2	then there will have to be another obtaining of funds.
3	Do we go to clean up from there?
4	MR. RON LEVY: Assuming that that's
5	the decision to do.
6	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Right.
7	MR. RON LEVY: Again, what we'll do
8	is: We'll be asking the question of the LRA and the
9	community is: What do you want us to focus on first?
10	Do you want the area that's in close to the cantonment
11	area to from EECA standpoint, to be focused on
12	first or are we going to go after the mountainous
13	region?
14	More than likely, it will probably
15	be that which is in closer to the cantonment area,
16	because that's the most readily usable to them, from
17	the terrain standpoint.
18	So, there is a lot of questions
19	that need to be answered before we can move forward on
20	it.
21	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Are we at a
22	stand-still right now?
23	MR. RON LEVY: Well, funding is a

1	big issue. And we haven't completed the report, so we
2	haven't (inaudible) and we haven't briefed the LRA,
3	which is supposed to be done tomorrow. We'll be
4	getting before the LRA.
5	So, we want to field all those
6	questions and get a feel for what it is they're
7	looking for so that we can have a direction to move in
8	from what we're going to focus on.
9	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: I know we were
10	given that time line, but I don't have it memorized.
11	So, we're looking at this next step taking
12	MR. RON LEVY: I can't give you a
13	time line. I honestly can't. Until I know that
14	everything is in place, in terms of funding and the
15	question as to what we want to focus on, I can't give
16	you a time line.
17	But we're moving in that direction.
18	And the BCT still has got to come to an agreement on
19	some thing in terms of clean up.
20	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Until you
21	decide what you want to clean up, you don't know
22	really how much you need.
23	MR. RON LEVY: Yes. And then the

1	other thing, is it conceivable that you can? What are
2	we going to get out of the EECA? Will we get will
3	the option allow will they say to us that we have a
4	hundred percent assurance that if we do this, that we
5	will have a clean a clean site? Can they do that
6	for us? And as I understand, a lot of the EECAs have
7	come back and said, no, we can't give you that
8	assurance. That's just something that they can't do
9	for you. You can never get a hundred percent
10	assurance that you've got it all.
11	And we talked about this, too:
12	What is the damage that you do when you do clean up
13	for this area? There's a price to be paid, in terms
14	of what you do when you try to clean up, in terms of
15	mountainous terrain.
16	There is a lot of issues inherit
17	with any action to clean this area up that we have to
18	get to.
19	MS. CAROL YOUKEY: Is there a
20	question?
21	MR. PARKS: I may ask, on that
22	archive search report it looks pretty comprehensive
23	who were those recommendations made to?

1	MR. TOM MURRELL: Those were made
2	back to the post.
3	MR. PARKS: Will that feed into
4	that engineering evaluation
5	MR. TOM MURRELL: Right
6	MR. PARKS: cost analysis?
7	They'll consider those when they're making the EECA or
8	what are they calling it?
9	MR. TOM MURRELL: The EECA.
10	MS. CAROL YOUKEY: Yes. The
11	recommendation that you asked right before the break
12	in many cases you'll see, statistical sampling is
13	recommended by the ASR. And that's exactly what an
14	EECA starts out to be is the sampling that will be
15	done for these areas. It will take a percentage of
16	the land and actually do some investigations and find
17	out what's actually underneath the ground.
18	MR. PARKS: Well, if you're going
19	to prioritize them, like if he's got a recommendation
20	in here, no further action to be taken, then that
21	would be considered in setting the priorities.
22	MS. CAROL YOUKEY: If there is no
23	further action, it's not going to show up as a colored

1	area or the hatched area.
2	MR. PARKS: I see. Okay.
3	MR. MARK ANDERSON: You're talking
4	about no further action as an explosive ordnance,
5	hazard
6	MS. CAROL YOUKEY: Yes
7	MR. MARK ANDERSON: but there
8	might be heavy metals or something.
9	MS. CAROL YOUKEY: Yes, that's
10	correct. I would just like to say that we've done a
11	lot of projects there in Huntsville and this site is a
12	big site, huge from an ordnance standpoint. It's
13	something that you're doing things the right way by
14	starting your ASR, continuing with the EECA, because a
15	systematic approach is the only way that you're going
16	to do this in, I think, a peaceful manner. So, be
17	patient and try to understand things as you go along,
18	because there is a lot of work to be done in this
19	project. And we're happy to be a part of it. We
20	don't normally get projects within a hundred miles of
21	us, but we're happy to have one close by.
22	MR. BART REEDY: Thank you.
23	MS. CAROL YOUKEY: Any questions?

1	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: This is basically
2	artillery. What about the other clean up, as far as
3	my pet project is the dump sites and everything like
4	that, will that be separate funding? Will that be
5	done on a separate basis? Have you already got that
6	going, as far as
7	MR. RON LEVY: Yes. The
8	investigation on those are separate projects. We
9	track them differently. This in itself is a fairly
10	big area that we're going to be tackling. No, that's
11	not. That's not an issue right now.
12	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Yes. I think
13	the next person we wanted to hear from is Ms.
14	Whitfield. I think everybody has in front of them a
15	copy of a draft, membership application. And take a
16	look at that as something that we can vote on the next
17	time, whenever we have a quorum.
18	MR. BART REEDY: Is this what we're
19	picking up here?
20	MR. FERN THOMASSY: So, at this
21	point, I would think from a question standpoint, and
22	also from an experience standpoint, if you don't have
23	questions of Ms. Whitfield, we could ask her to give

1	us a couple of comments on it. Have you seen a copy?
2	Do you have a copy, Ms. Whitfield?
3	MS. WHITFIELD: This here?
4	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Yes. I believe
5	you've had experience with the development of
6	memberships on restoration advisory boards or similar
7	boards. And some of your experience or some of your
8	thoughts would be helpful.
9	MS. WHITFIELD: I spoke to Ron
10	previously and Charles Turner, actually, about the RAB
11	process and what you could possibly do to, I guess,
12	select new RAB members. And some of the things are
13	outlined in the draft community relations plan. And I
14	think, to my understanding, he's going to try some of
15	those things. Some of those things are (inaudible)
16	I think, contacting some of the church members and
17	(inaudible) Mark and
18	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Joe Baker.
19	MS. WHITFIELD: Thank you Joe
20	Baker just enlightened me on a lot of things that you
21	all are doing to reselect new RAB members. And I
22	think you're doing a great job with that. So,
23	actually, I just the things that he offered, we

1	just have to wait and see how they work out. Some of
2	these things were contacting community leaders, local
3	officials here, and I think you send out invitations
4	to the community, not just Calhoun communities, but
5	other communities in Anniston. I think he just gave
6	me a good, brief update on everything that you're
7	doing. And I'm satisfied with it, so far, the efforts
8	that they're using. So, we'll just see how it works
9	out.
10	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Okay.
11	MR. BART REEDY: Tiki, this right
12	here, if I could, for is this
13	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Sure.
14	MS. WHITFIELD: This?
15	MR. BART REEDY: Sure. You know,
16	one of the things that you and I talked about, I mean,
17	the Army has done everything to you know, in a
18	hands-off kind of manner. And one of I guess we
19	were you all were kicking around maybe trying to
20	expand the diversity of the RAB a tad.
21	MR. FERN THOMASSY: There has been
22	some discussion of the minority status on the board,
23	possibly, its need to enhance it.

1	MR. BART REEDY: Right.
2	MR. FERN THOMASSY: So, I would
3	guess that's why that statement. You were pointing to
4	the minority status.
5	MR. BART REEDY: Yes, sir. Is this
6	does this seem reasonable for an application to
7	you? You know, I'm not an expert, you are.
8	MS. WHITFIELD: Well, in speaking
9	with Charles, he stated that in the previous
10	application, there was no you didn't mention race
11	or anything like that. So, you just randomly pick by,
12	I guess, expertise and stuff like that. Which was
13	fine.
13 14	fine. I think so far you stated why you
14	I think so far you stated why you
14 15	I think so far you stated why you put it here, which is good. And that in itself helps
14 15 16	I think so far you stated why you put it here, which is good. And that in itself helps people so they'll understand why you put it there.
14 15 16 17	I think so far you stated why you put it here, which is good. And that in itself helps people so they'll understand why you put it there. So, more than likely they'll respond to this, I think.
14 15 16 17	I think so far you stated why you put it here, which is good. And that in itself helps people so they'll understand why you put it there. So, more than likely they'll respond to this, I think. Now, so far as are there any environmental justice
14 15 16 17 18	I think so far you stated why you put it here, which is good. And that in itself helps people so they'll understand why you put it there. So, more than likely they'll respond to this, I think. Now, so far as are there any environmental justice groups in the area that you know of that you contact?
14 15 16 17 18 19	I think so far you stated why you put it here, which is good. And that in itself helps people so they'll understand why you put it there. So, more than likely they'll respond to this, I think. Now, so far as are there any environmental justice groups in the area that you know of that you contact? MS. MARY HARRINGTON: That was a
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I think so far you stated why you put it here, which is good. And that in itself helps people so they'll understand why you put it there. So, more than likely they'll respond to this, I think. Now, so far as are there any environmental justice groups in the area that you know of that you contact? MS. MARY HARRINGTON: That was a question I was about to ask.

Τ	did we contact?
2	MR. MARK ANDERSON: It was the lady
3	because she called you and she called me. It was a
4	lady from Clark
5	MS. LISA KINGSBURY: Ruth Mill.
6	MR. MARK ANDERSON: University
7	in Atlanta.
8	MS. MARY HARRINGTON: Gwen Johnson.
9	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Right.
10	MS. MARY HARRINGTON: But there is
11	an environmental group in the area. That's why I was
12	wondering exactly what had been done, because some of
13	the people that I spoke to have not received any
14	information. But there is an environmental group, and
15	it's made up of both black and white, concerning the
16	Monsanto. And they have been I get several calls
17	because they've been wanting my input for people for
18	theirs. And I had asked them if they had received
19	anything about RAB. So, I would like to I know we
20	talked about doing it, but what have we done?
21	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Nothing.
22	That's why they haven't received anything.
23	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Yes, this is

1	the membership
2	MS. MARY HARRINGTON: I don't mean
3	that bad.
4	MR. MARK ANDERSON: application.
5	MR. FERN THOMASSY: No. But that's
6	the truth.
7	MS. MARY HARRINGTON: I don't know.
8	I don't mean that bad. But if I don't know, nothing
9	has been done, because almost everything that has to
10	do with anything
11	MS. WHITFIELD: What is your name?
12	I'm sorry.
13	MS. MARY HARRINGTON: Harrington,
14	Mary Harrington.
15	MS. WHITFIELD: Ms. Harrington, the
16	people that you've spoken with, do you tell them that
17	you have a RAB meeting here?
18	MS. MARY HARRINGTON: Yes.
19	THE WITNESS: Invite them to come?
20	MS. MARY HARRINGTON: Yes. As a
21	matter of fact, I had planned to bring some to
22	December's meeting, and I didn't get to December's
23	meeting.

1	MR. FERN THOMASSY: No, we didn't
2	have one.
3	MS. MARY HARRINGTON: No,
4	November's meeting. I didn't get to November's.
5	MS. WHITTFIELD: So, have you
6	provided do you know the name of the community?
7	And maybe you can get their address and can provide it
8	to Lisa and them and let them put them on the mailing
9	list so they can receive information.
10	MS. MARY HARRINGTON: I can do
11	that.
12	MS. WHITFIELD: And at the time, if
13	they're really interested, they'll come to the
14	meeting. You can only ask people to come.
15	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Well, these
16	haven't gone out, yet.
17	MR. FERN THOMASSY: We haven't
18	approved it, yet.
19	MS. WHITFIELD: Well, that was the
20	other thing that I was coming up with. That was one
21	of the things I was suggesting to Mark was about fact
22	sheets that you should send out, because the most
23	important thing is involving the community early in

1	the process. And I know you have a news letter that
2	will be distributed on a quarterly basis. But in the
3	meantime, there is a mailing list already established
4	and you should use that to inform the community of
5	things that are going on, now. And so far as your
6	agenda, today, Ms. Youkey
7	MS. CAROL YOUKEY: Youkey.
8	MS. WHITFIELD: she covered
9	something that, you know, you can provide a fact sheet
10	on just that topic to the community, prior to this
11	meeting or any other thing that's on your agenda for
12	the meeting you can, you know, send that to them over
13	a three week period, because you meet every month, and
14	then provide them with the RAB I guess you do a
15	public notice before this I mean, a notice of the
16	RAB meeting in the newspapers or something. I'm sure
17	you do that. And
18	MS. MARY HARRINGTON: We do not.
19	MS. WHITFIELD: You don't?
20	MS. MARY HARRINGTON: We do not.
21	The members get a notice.
22	MS. WHITFIELD: Well, you do do a
23	public notice of the RAB meeting and what time. You

1	just
2	MR. RON LEVY: That's something
3	that the public affairs office
4	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: In the
5	newspaper.
6	MS. WHITFIELD: So, you can do
7	something like that with your current mailing list,
8	which will help people educating them through the
9	mail, first of all, which will probably, more than
10	likely, get you more people in the meetings or more
11	people applying to be on the RAB. So, that's
12	something you could probably try to do.
13	MR. RON LEVY: A comment to that,
14	we're and I need to talk to you talk to the RAB
15	about funding issues. We're getting ready to make
16	another request on some community relations money, so
17	that we can bring in an individual, contract
18	individual to do things like specifically, to do
19	things like putting news letters together, fact
20	sheets, and mailings. I think the Army is going to
21	provide us with specific funds to do that, separate
22	from RAB funds. Hopefully, we'll get them this year,
23	because I think those mailings are important, those

fact sheets are important.

2 MS. WHITFIELD: Definitely. And 3 that was my second suggestion was to hire a community 4 contractor to do these things for you. But in the 5 meantime, I would like to offer my services to help you develop fact sheets and mail them out. I have a mailing list of the community relations plan right 8 now. And just provide me with a draft agenda and you can see what's on here and mail it out to your current 9 mailing list, a fact sheet or something. Because if 10 11 I'm at home and I get, you know, something in the -- I 12 read the newspaper and I read, oh, they're going to have a RAB meeting, they're going to talk about 13 engineering evaluation, what is that? I'm not going 14 15 to come. But if I had a fact sheet prior to your 16 meeting, explaining what that is, it may provide some 17 interest in coming to your meeting. 18 MR. BART REEDY: How would we -just a little paragraph like what Tom was going to 19 20 talk about, is that what you're --21 MS. WHITFIELD: Yes, at -- well, 22 right now, EPA has established a lot of fact sheets that are covered in the clean-up process. So, one 23

1	would just be making some revisions to it to reflect
2	Fort McClellan. So, what I can do is just provide
3	Lisa or Joe a list of all the fact sheets that EPA
4	currently has, and you can just make a check list of
5	what you would like to and you can just make additions
6	or something like that.
7	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: While we're on
8	the community relations plan, the one that was
9	developed by SCIC, did all the RAB members make
10	comment to that?
11	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Yes.
12	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: And that's been
13	
14	MR. BART REEDY: Yes.
15	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: Have we got
16	MR. MARK ANDERSON: We basically
17	said, that's the Army's plan. It has very little to
18	do with what we saw as our mission. You know, we did
19	not see as our mission to be, you know, direct
20	actions, but rather to be a focal point for the
21	community to provide input to the Army. And, you
22	know, going to do some mail outs and we'll do some
23	you know, these community organization briefings, as

1	they ask us to, but we're not going to go out and drum
2	up business. And that's the way the Army's plan read
3	was, drum up business, so to speak.
4	MS. WHITFIELD: So, did the RAB get
5	opportunity to review the community relations plan?
6	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Yes.
7	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: Yes.
8	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Now, we
9	provided comments last September and October.
10	MS. WHITFIELD: Okay.
11	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: Well, I might
12	could speak for Ron on this one. That community
13	relations plan really stemmed from the initial IRP
14	activities that were going on, so, it was really kind
15	of a requirement, if you will, under the RIFS work
16	that we were ongoing. And I think we basically tried
17	to use that as a stepping stone to bring it over into
18	the BRAC process. So, are we going to try to finalize
19	that document or are we just going to trash it or
20	MR. RON LEVY: The comments are in
21	and we're moving to finalize it right now.
22	MS. WHITFIELD: Right now you're
23	waiting on EPA's input. And I'm currently doing it

1	now. And I'm going to provide copies as soon as
2	possible.
3	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: Okay.
4	MS. WHITFIELD: And that's another
5	thing, you can develop a fact sheet, explaining what
6	the community relations plan is and they may not
7	know what it is, and that would be helpful. And let
8	them know it will be available in the information
9	repository.
10	MR. RON LEVY: Let me mention, with
11	the application, based on all the comments from
12	everybody, in front of you, I guess what Charles was
13	looking for was approval of the application
14	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Which we can't
15	do, but we can certainly take any comments that we
16	have in here at this point. But we're going to have
17	to wait until the next meeting to be able to approve
18	this.
19	MR. RON LEVY: A lot of what we
20	you know, we haven't started any recruiting, because
21	there hasn't really been an application to use. So,
22	that's kind of where we're at right now. The sooner
23	we can get this thing through and we can start getting

1	them in the mail to get out, the sooner we can start
2	interviewing and getting folks to sit on the RAB, make
3	the selections.
4	MR. BART REEDY: Is there a way
5	that we can that you all could get that to the
6	folks that aren't here tonight?
7	MR. RON LEVY: Yes, we can mail it.
8	MR. FERN THOMASSY: You can mail it
9	to them.
10	MR. RON LEVY: We'll do that.
11	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Point of order,
12	I think we do have enough for a quorum. Didn't we say
13	in our bylaws, eleven?
14	MR. FERN THOMASSY: I think with
15	something like this, you're going to want to have some
16	discussion, you're going to ask face-to-face
17	MR. BART REEDY: Yes
18	MR. FERN THOMASSY: So, I wouldn't
19	recommend a telephone call. But I would recommend
20	that we get it out to people and that we come prepared
21	at the next meeting hopefully, we'll have a quorum
22	to vote on it and get a move on it. We're sort of
23	stuck right now, since we don't have enough people.

1	MR. RON LEVY: Yes?
2	MR. MARK ANDERSON: I think we do
3	have enough people. I think we said we had to have
4	eleven, and I count eleven voting members.
5	MR. RON LEVY: Well, we did.
6	MR. MARK ANDERSON: It was twelve
7	when Charles was here.
8	MR. RON LEVY: We started out with
9	thirteen, and besides myself, Chris, and Bart,
10	remember, we had
11	MR. FERN THOMASSY: We're below
12	eleven.
13	MR. MARK ANDERSON: All right.
14	MR. FERN THOMASSY: We may as well
15	get on with some other things that we can discuss very
16	quickly. Two items that we're on, according to old
17	business, has everybody had a chance to look at the
18	minutes from the last meeting? Do we have any comment
19	on it, additions or deletions, changes? If not, do we
20	have a second to approve them?
21	MR. HOOD: We can't do that,
22	either.
23	MR. FERN THOMASSY: We can't even

1	get that far, can we? I think
2	MR. RON LEVY: Let me I've got
3	the RAB budget
4	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Go ahead, Ron.
5	MR. RON LEVY: Under old business
6	Lisa, did you put out the guidance on the RAB
7	MR. PARKS: Budget.
8	MR. RON LEVY: budget?
9	MS. LISA KINGSBURY: Funding?
10	MR. PARKS: It's in there.
11	MR. RON LEVY: I can't find it.
12	Can you tell me where it's at?
13	MS. LISA KINGSBURY: It's got a
14	dark triangle in the upper left hand corner.
15	MR. RON LEVY: Here it is. I've
16	got it. There's a piece of paper in front of you that
17	looks like this. Take a quick look at it.
18	I just want to review what we, as
19	the RAB, can spend money on so you fully understand
20	that. This is a guide that's put out by The
21	Department of the Army, who funds us to conduct these
22	meetings. And if you look at where it gets down to
23	the italicized, funding includes expenses which are

1	directly related to the operation of the RAB, such as
2	RAB establishment, member selection cost, orientation
3	training, meeting announcements, meeting logistics,
4	facilitators, preparation of meeting agendas,
5	materials and minutes, documents reproduced, and any
6	administrative funding, which include contractor
7	support expenses.
8	And the things that it can't spend
9	money on or that we can't spend money on are community
10	involvement expenses, such as preparation of fact
11	shoots, other information metonial for sublin
11	sheets; other information material for public
12	distributions; mailings; repository cost. RAB
13	administrative funding does not include salaries for
14	DoD personnel, such as myself. Cost associated with
15	administrative support for the RABs are eligible
16	funding, which is similar to what Lisa is doing. I
17	don't think you'll understand what the BCA accounts
18	are. So, really, you can disregard that. That's kind
19	of really the extent of the guidance.
20	Now, you say, okay, what are we
21	going to do about mailings and other administrative
22	costs? We have a separate program in to do that. And
23	that's what I was telling you about before. We're in

1	the process of trying to get a contractor in to do the
2	fact sheets even though Tiki has mentioned helping
3	us out, up front and right now to do the fact
4	sheets and do the mailings, set the meetings up to do
5	things like notify the public and also to do a
6	quarterly news letter, which is something we want to
7	do, as well. So, we're moving on that. We're trying
8	to hire somebody. I've got confirmation that we will
9	get funding this year. When I get it, though, I can't
10	really tell you.
11	But that kind of gives you an idea,
12	because I told you at previous RAB meetings that I was

But that kind of gives you an idea, because I told you at previous RAB meetings that I was going to give you an idea of what it is we can and cannot spend money on. The court reporter here is one of the things that obviously we can spend money on and we are spending money on.

Bringing in people to train for -in fact, if you said to me that you wanted to see a
guy who -- a chemist who knows a lot about chemical
warfare and material and we want to bring him in from
Maryland, we could pay for his way down here to talk
to us about that. That's kind of what the money was
intended to do.

1	Does anybody have any questions on
2	that? I mentioned to you before that we're budgeted
3	for twenty-four K a year. A lot of those expenses, as
4	I pointed out and I don't have that sheet are
5	going towards the transcriptions, and doing some of
6	the some of the training costs.
7	MR. MARK ANDERSON: How much are
8	you going to have for the community outreach type of
9	stuff?
10	MR. RON LEVY: It's going to have
11	to come out of that other pot of money that I was
12	telling you about. And it will set up something
13	separate for that. Once I get a contractor on board,
14	she'll be involved in doing that. I don't have a
15	number, don't have a number. But that's not that's
16	it just depends. It depends on how we lay it out
17	and what we decide we want to do.
18	With that, that's where we stand.
19	On future meetings, I will give you an accounting of
20	what we spent our money on, as it relates to the RAB.
21	I'll put a sheet in front of you to tell you what we
22	expect to come from the RAB and what money we have
23	left. As you look as what it is we can do, in terms

1	of training or if we wanted to meet some place else,
2	and it's going to cost us something like you wanted
3	to meet at the Anniston Meeting Center and they're
4	going to charge us for that, we'll look at cost
5	associated with that.
6	Does anybody have any questions
7	about that?
8	MR. BART REEDY: What about
9	refurbishing this room?
10	MR. RON LEVY: We already did that.
11	That was a cost in support of the RAB was actually,
12	this room was put together for the RAB so that we
13	could have a place to meet.
14	MR. FERN THOMASSY: The question I
15	have, Ron, is: If we go out and I think it's a
16	good idea that we get out of here a few times each
17	year and go into a place like the Anniston Meeting
18	Center can we spend RAB money to announce and
19	advertise where we're going to be?
20	MR. RON LEVY: Yes.
21	MS. LISA KINGSBURY: Yes.
22	MR. FERN THOMASSY: That would open
23	

1	MR. RON LEVY: Yes, that fits
2	MR. FERN THOMASSY: That money
3	fits?
4	MR. RON LEVY: Yes.
5	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Then I would
6	suggest we do that. That's one of the things that
7	would be very important. And it would allow people in
8	that district, wherever we go, then to know we're here
9	and them come in and participate.
10	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Most of the
11	radio stations, too, have when I've talked to them,
12	they've agreed to carry the spots as, you know,
13	community
14	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Service.
15	MR. MARK ANDERSON: service,
16	community service spots. I know DNG and WHOG said
17	that they would do a certain number of them, if we
18	wanted them to.
19	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Mark, now that
20	we've got you talking, I think I would suggest we go
21	ahead and let you talk a little bit about the briefing
22	that you put together, so that we, at least, have the
23	people here up to speed on it, prepared, hopefully, to

1	vote	on	ıt	at	the	next	meeting.

- MR. MARK ANDERSON: What I would
 like to just ask people to do is -- every one should
 have gotten a copy of it, either at the November
 meeting or as a mail-out, between then and now. The
 briefing is intended to be just what it looks like.
 It's an initial contact with the -- it looks like this
- 8 --
- 9 MR. RON LEVY: They've got it in
- 10 front of them.
- 11 MR. FERN THOMASSY: Everybody has
- got a copy, I think, in front of them.
- MR. MARK ANDERSON: The briefing is
- intended to be just initial contact with the
- 15 community. If the community then wants to know
- something more about a specific clean-up problem or
- 17 something like that, then we -- we schedule, thank
- 18 them very much for letting us show up, and we schedule
- 19 a follow-on briefing with them. This is intended to
- 20 be just first flush, see if there is any interest and
- 21 provide them with some basic information. The main
- thing that I'd like to ask the people assembled here
- is for specific comments. I didn't get any mailed in

1	or faxed-in comments over the last couple of months,
2	with the exception of one comment from Ron. And I
3	can't even remember what that is right now, Ron. I've
4	got it on my I already made the change in my
5	office.
6	MR. RON LEVY: I can't remember,
7	either.
8	MR. MARK ANDERSON: I think it
9	specifically had to do with the oversight that's
10	what it was in the RAB slide number three, provides
11	community input
12	MR. RON LEVY: Yes.
13	MR. MARK ANDERSON: oversight.
14	MR. RON LEVY: The advisory board's
15	function is to
16	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Advise.
17	MR. RON LEVY: advise.
18	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Other than
19	that, anybody have any specific comments on this
20	briefing? And if not, we'll make Yes?
21	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: I was going to
22	add on number four
23	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Yes.

1	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: just to make
2	it clear that me and Bart and Ron are non-voting
3	members and I don't know if it needs it just
4	are we going to be considered part of the RAB here or
5	just I'm not sure if this is I'm not sure if
6	this is stating what actually is, I guess.
7	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Ex Officio, I
8	think.
9	MR. BART REEDY: I think that is
10	what we're termed. We're non-voting.
11	MR. RON LEVY: I'm not Ex Officio,
12	I'm considered co-chair.
13	MR. BART REEDY: You're co-chair.
14	I think you and I are just kind of one step above a
15	guest.
16	MR. FERN THOMASSY: I like that.
17	We're not going to let you get away with that. You
18	can't leave. But I think we have discussed that in
19	full fledged Ex Officio, and we used that term,
20	since you were not a voting member of the RAB
21	MR. BART REEDY: Right
22	MR. FERN THOMASSY: and not a
23	charter member, as Ron is, as co-chair.

1	MR. RON LEVY: True.
2	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Full fledged in
3	all other respects. You can't get out of that.
4	MR. RON LEVY: And the intent to
5	the voting portion, as it relates to administrative
6	function of the RAB, advice from any member of the RAB
7	will be taken in equal and in whole, because it's the
8	Army's responsibility to listen to any and all advice,
9	as it comes from the RAB members. And even as a
10	whole, if the group wants to take it as a whole or if
11	they want if individual members want to come up and
12	provide advice, we're obligated to listen to it all.
13	MR. FERN THOMASSY: And I think
14	everybody here looked at each of you as really our
15	eyes and our ears and many times our brains. And
16	enough said on that. You're extremely important.
17	MR. MARK ANDERSON: I would be
18	just from the standpoint looking at, probably
19	either myself or Fern as the most likely people to
20	give the very first briefing and drag the next person
21	along with us. I think we can probably talk to that
22	without you know, without muddling it.
23	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Okay. My

1	suggestion would be that each of you take that back
2	take a good look at it and, if you have any comments
3	between now and then, get it to Mark or to me, before
4	we have the next meeting so we can vote on this and
5	have an approved briefing that we can get out and get
6	moving with.
7	MR. MARK ANDERSON: In fact, we're
8	due to meet, if I may
9	MS. HARY HARRINGTON: In February
10	
11	MR. MARK ANDERSON: in February,
12	on the 10th, which makes the 5th when we're supposed
13	to have our regularly scheduled community relations
14	committee meeting. I would like to have it by the 5th
15	so I have time or actually by the 4th
16	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Yes.
17	MR. MARK ANDERSON: of February,
18	so I have time to make changes, bring them into the
19	committee. We can polish it up then and get it back
20	out before the meeting on the 10th.
21	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: What time is that
22	on the 5th, Mark?
23	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Noon. That's

our lunchtime meeting.

23

2 MR. FERN THOMASSY: Okay. We're 3 starting to really eat into everybody's time. There 4 is one more important thing. I want to turn it over 5 to Ron. We've got to talk about the meeting in March 6 and then the new business item that he wants to get 7 across to you before we break up. 8 MR. RON LEVY: Yes. I recently 9 came back from a defense environmental restoration 10 response -- excuse me -- a defense environmental 11 response task force meeting, held out in California. 12 The DERTF, as they're called, is a Congressionaly established working group that looks at means or ways 13 in which to accelerate clean up at BRAC or closing 14 15 installations. It's chaired by the Deputy 16 Undersecretary of Defense for Environmental Security, 17 which is Ms. Sherry Goodman. And includes members 18 from Department of Justice; EPA; GSA, Army Corps of 19 Engineers, at the headquarters level; and it's got 20 non-federal members as State Attorney General in Texas; State Environmental Agency, in this case it's 21 22 California; and then they've got a public interest

representative, a Mr. Don Gray, I can't remember

1	exactly what his group is; and the Urban Land
2	Institute. Really, a very high level group, working
3	group that has discussions as it relates to the clean
4	up activities.
5	And in front of you there is an
6	information paper, as it relates to the DERTF. Do
7	y'all see that? And it tells where some of the
8	meetings have occurred and some of the issues that
9	they've gone through. They want to meet here in
10	Anniston in June, around the 16th, actually, probably,
11	three or four days in the 16th of June. They can't
12	come in on a Monday when we regularly hold our
13	meetings, so, they'll probably come in on a Tuesday.
14	And their intent is to come to a RAB meeting.
15	The reason I'm asking this is,
16	because I would like to try to schedule our meeting in
17	June, probably on that Tuesday evening so that they
18	can attend it and listen to our discussions, so they
19	can use that as a basis for learning and to help in
20	the help in establishing policies and guidance for
21	fast track clean up. They can also take questions at
22	some of the public forums from our RAB members, as to,
23	you know, your comments and perceptions about clean

Τ	up.
2	So, if we can get the RAB to agree
3	to that, I would like to try to schedule the June RAB
4	probably for the afternoon or the evening of the 16th,
5	which should be a Tuesday.
6	MR. THOMASSY: I would suggest we
7	may this may be a good time to look at doing it
8	down in the Anniston Meeting Center, because we're
9	going to need the additional space.
10	MR. MARK ANDERSON: It's actually
11	the right month for it in the cycle
12	MR. LEVY: Excuse me. The week of
13	the 16th. But it would be the afternoon of the 17th.
14	And that's their intent is to do their meeting in the
15	Anniston Meeting Center. Which would be open to the
16	public and allow people to come in and listen to some
17	of their discussions. Because they have got a lot of
18	high level discussions, as it relates to clean up.
19	Now, you can see they're one of the ones that
20	design policy for creation of restoration advisory
21	boards and the fast track clean up and issues of local
22	reuse authorities. UXO issues are a big one, too,
23	that they're discussing, as well. Funding issues,

1	they discuss those, land use issues, quite an array of
2	different topics that they're involved in.
3	Their whole intent is to put policy
4	and guidance together that will help get the land back
5	into the community's hands as soon as possible.
6	So, if it's and I know we don't
7	have the full working group of the RAB here, but we're
8	going to try to start pushing that. I guess I'll
9	bring it back up at the February meeting.
10	MR. MARK ANDERSON: What time?
11	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: Ron
12	MR. RON LEVY: Well, we would
13	continue to hold our meeting at the same time, we
14	would just probably change the location.
15	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: I believe the
16	contractor for DoD stated they were going to be at the
17	next RAB, right?
18	MR. LEVY: Right. We hold our
19	meeting maybe at the Anniston Meeting Center and they
20	could meet right there, or they could be there to
21	listen to what's going on.
22	The other thing that they'll
23	probably ask and not necessarily it won't be the

1	RAB, but the base clean-up team, they'll ask for a
2	presentation from the base clean-up team, that being,
3	me, Bart, and Chris, and also from the local reuse
4	authority, you know, on their concerns. Mostly, the
5	BCT, in terms of what we're doing and how we're doing
6	it, and the LRA and their concerns for environmental
7	issues and clean up.
8	So, that's where we're heading with
9	that. And I guess I'll bring it back up in June.
10	That's what we're going to try to do, 17 June, 6:30,
11	assuming that everything works out, in the Anniston
12	Meeting Center.
13	MR. REEDY: And for sure, will be
14	here for how long?
15	MR. RON LEVY: Well, they're
16	talking about four days. So, it would be the 17th,
17	18th, 19th, and probably leaving on the 20th. So,
18	that's coming in on the 16th and pretty much tying up
19	the whole week.
20	MR. BART REEDY: Pretty much.
21	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: They're going
22	to two meetings a year, so, they're increasing the
23	length of their meetings.

1	MR. LEVY: Chris was with me at
2	that meeting and we learned quite a bit. I mean, it
3	was real interesting, in terms of the issues they got
4	through. There's a lot of legal issues, as it relates
5	to reuse of the land and liability associated with the
6	clean up that they've been talking about.
7	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: I think they're
8	gearing I mean, one of the big issues that I think
9	they're going to try to focus on here is going to be
10	the UXO. It's certainly a concern of the members of
11	DERTF. And they're going to hopefully, that would
12	be one of their highlight topics is the UXO problem
13	and institution control. So, it is good. They bring
14	up a lot of interesting problems we're all facing.
15	MR. LEVY: One other thing, the
16	March meeting, the base clean-up team, that's myself,
17	Bart, and Chris, are scheduled for some partnering
18	training the third week in March when we have our
19	March meeting. So, we would probably like to schedule
20	it for the second Monday in March, if that's okay.
21	Otherwise, you wouldn't have our expertise and
22	presence there to discuss things with.
23	MR. FERN THOMASSY: I recommend we

1	not try to have a meeting without these people there.
2	So, if we could do it the second Monday in March, we
3	really ought to do that. Otherwise, it wouldn't be
4	very productive, I believe.
5	MR. MARK ANDERSON: That's true.
6	The only thing is: We have already agreed in a
7	previous meeting, we voted on having the meeting on
8	March 17th at a location outside of here, so we would
9	have to do that under business next meeting.
10	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Yes, we would
11	have to change it.
12	MR. LEVY: We'll add it to the
13	schedule as new business.
14	MR. FERN THOMASSY: For the next
15	meeting.
16	MR. LEVY: For the next meeting.
17	MR. THOMASSY: Any other points of
18	discussion?
19	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: I've got one
20	request.
21	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Yes.
22	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Now that we've
23	seen these maps, I don't have the knowledge of a lots

1	of these sites, as I look at these maps. Is there
2	some way, Ron, that for us that don't know Fort
3	McClellan, as far as being on it and all that, we
4	could set up some way we can go on a tour and identify
5	where I can see where some of these places are? You
6	know, for folks in the military know where that is,
7	but some of these areas I haven't been into, some I
8	have and some I haven't.
9	MR. RON LEVY: That is one thing
10	that we haven't discussed is what we're going to do at
11	our next meeting. And try to get everybody to, at
12	some point in the future, do a tour. But we thought
13	we would probably do that separate and above what we
14	were doing with the RAB.
15	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Right. That's
16	what I'm saying, during the day or some time, if we
17	could establish some time in I would like to be
18	able to look at the mountain area, whatever
19	MR. BART REEDY: Sometimes folks do
20	it on a Saturday or like today, because, you know,
21	most everybody has to go to work, unfortunately, and
22	that usually works well on a Saturday.
23	MR. RON LEVY: Yes. Does anybody

1	have any recommendations of when they would like to
2	see this done? I would certainly like to get that
3	done before the DERTF meets so that you can at least
4	tell them that you've seen these sites and know what's
5	going on out there. Has anybody got any
6	recommendations when we would like to do this?
7	MR. MARK ANDERSON: April is a
8	pretty month.
9	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: When it warms
10	up a little bit.
11	MR. LEVY: Does anybody have a
12	desire to do it earlier? Do we want to consider April
13	for the tour of
14	MR. CONROY: How extensive would
15	this tour be? And the reason I ask that is: Maybe we
16	could have an alternative during the week and on a
17	weekend, so we could please as many people as
18	possible.
19	MR. RON LEVY: Yes, I can split it
20	up and we can do two different types not two
21	different types, but two different times, if
22	necessary. I don't have a problem with that. You
23	know, if you can't make it one time, we can do it

1	another time.
2	MR. CONROY: Unless there is a
3	tremendous amount of
4	MR. RON LEVY: What I'll do is:
5	I'll propose some times at the next meeting. And,
6	Lisa, that's an action, propose some times at the next
7	meeting to do some tours for us and we'll try to split
8	it up and see what we can't come up with.
9	MS. LISA KINGSBURY: You've got
10	daylight savings time in April, so, we'll have more
11	daylight during workdays.
12	MR. LEVY: Maybe even in the next
13	mailing that we send out, we could send out a little
14	note with some proposed times so we could look ahead
15	and come back and discuss it. Okay.
16	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Anybody else?
17	MR. RON LEVY: One other thing.
18	Next RAB meeting, scheduled for February, in terms of
19	what we're going to do for a discussion, can I get any
20	comments as to what you would like to see presented?
21	We're still in a training phase. We're still trying
22	to put information out to the RAB and let them know
23	what's out there. Can I get any consensus of what you

1	would like to see us put together for the next RAB
2	meeting?
3	MR. ANDERSON: Can we start
4	overlaying all the maps, at that point, or probably
5	not quite to that stage, yet, in your assessments, are
6	you?
7	MR. RON LEVY: I'm not sure what
8	you mean.
9	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Well, take the
10	UXO map and overlay it on the known contaminated sites
11	and
12	MR. LEVY: You don't get that kind
13	of level of detail, because this pretty much
14	encompasses what you're seeing up there. We're not
15	going to be able to do that. I mean, it becomes a
16	little bit a little bit too crowded for us to see
17	everything that is on there. Certainly, those maps
18	are all available, you know, for you to look at,
19	separately.
20	Lisa, what did we say we thought
21	about doing for the next RAB?
22	MS. LISA KINGSBURY: Charles had
23	mentioned that FDAW

1	MR. RON LEVY: That's right.
2	MS. LISA KINGSBURY: come talk
3	about the reuse plan.
4	MR. RON LEVY: What we'll try to
5	do, if everybody would like to see that is: Get the
6	contractor in for the LRA and see if he won't brief us
7	on the concept for reuse. If everybody has got an
8	interest in that, we'll try to arrange that. Anybody
9	got any comment on that?
10	MR. GARY HARVEY: Also, Ron, the
11	contractor is briefing at Jax State. There should be
12	a notice in the paper, 7:00 Cole
13	MR. MARK ANDERSON: Houston Cole
14	Library.
15	MR. GARY HARVEY: Library. And
16	it's open to the public, 7:00 o'clock at night.
17	Anybody that wants to go, you don't have to be a
18	member of anything, it's open to the public. And
19	they're going to present three scenarios. And there
20	will be notices in the paper, too.
21	MR. LEVY: I believe it's Thursday,
22	Gary.
23	MR. HARVEY: What did I say?

1	MR. RON LEVY: 16th.
2	MR. GARY HARVEY: Thursday.
3	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: 11th floor of
4	Cole library.
5	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Since Ron is
6	going to have to try to get EDAW to come in, if for
7	some reason he can't get them to come in, I would
8	suggest that when the agenda comes out, we be told.
9	And as a fall back position, each of us coming to the
10	meeting plan to ask questions. We've had an awful lot
11	of information presented to us over the last three or
12	four months, and there ought to be a lot of questions
13	and a lot of things for discussion.
14	Plus, we have some business that we
15	have to complete at the next meeting. So, there is a
16	lot to do. And I would say that would be a fall back
17	position, if we don't have EDAW come to the meeting.
18	MS. LISA KINGSBURY: Say that
19	again. If we can't get EDAW, we'll just do admin?
20	MR. FERN THOMASSY: We'll do our
21	own admin and recommending that people prepare
22	questions to come in, based on all the information
23	that we've received over the past three or four

1	meetings.
2	MR. BART REEDY: Mr. Thomassy, you
3	also have the application to finalize.
4	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Right, that's
5	part of the administration that we've got to do, that
6	and the briefing for the community affairs.
7	MR. RON LEVY: Yes. We can put
8	that down also. The EDAW folks, we may have to use
9	some RAB money to support having them come in. We may
10	have to pay for their presence here. We are
11	authorized to do that. If we can get them to come in
12	and it doesn't cost us anything, we'll do that. But
13	we have the option of using RAB money. That falls
14	within the constraints of funding.
15	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Okay. Any
16	other comments or suggestions? Anybody in the
17	audience?
18	MR. DEAN HUTCHENS: Is there a
19	public meeting tomorrow night for the incinerator?
20	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Yes.
21	MR. CHRIS JOHNSON: That is
22	correct.
23	MR. THOMASSY: There is a question

1	about the chemical demilitarization project. The
2	second of two public meetings that ADEM is holding on
3	the public on the permits that have been put out
4	for public comment is tomorrow night in the Anniston
5	Meeting Center. It starts at 6:30. And ADEM will run
6	that public meeting as it did on December the 9th.
7	And just for anybody's interested, the final meeting
8	is really an administrative hearing that ADEM will
9	hold two weeks from tomorrow night on January the
10	28th, also in the Anniston Meeting Center. That one
11	is at 7:00 o'clock or starts at 7:00 o'clock on the
12	28th.
13	Anything else? Again, I would like
14	to thank Tiki for her input. And, you know, the fact
15	that you just confirmed some of the things that we're
16	doing is very, very helpful.
17	MR. RON LEVY: We'll take you up on
18	the
19	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Anybody want to
20	stay for another hour? Adjourned.
21	(WHEREUPON, the meeting was adjourned.)
22	
23	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF ALABAMA)
3	CALHOUN COUNTY)
4	
5	I, SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, a Court
6	Reporter and Notary Public in and for The State of
7	Alabama at Large, duly commissioned and qualified,
8	HEREBY CERTIFY that this proceeding was taken before
9	me, then was by me reduced to shorthand, afterwards
10	transcribed upon a computer, and that the foregoing is
11	a true and correct transcript of the proceeding.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY this proceeding
13	was taken at the time and place and was concluded
14	without adjournment.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
23	set my hand and affixed my seal at Anniston, Alabama,

1	on this the 22nd day of January, 1997.
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	SAMANTHA E. NOBLE
8	Notary Public in and for
9	Alabama at Large
10	
11	
12	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 11-14-97.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	